

Is planetary chaos related to evolutionary (phenotypic) rates?

J. C. Flores

Instituto de Alta Investigación IAI, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7-D, Arica, Chile

After Laskar^{1,2}, the Lyapunov time τ in the solar system is about five millions years ($\tau = 5.000.000$ [years]). On the other hand, after Kimura³, the evolutionary (phenotypic) rate ν , for hominids, is $\nu = 1/5.000.000$ [1/years]. Why are these two quantities so closely related ($\nu\tau = 1$)? In this work, following a proposition by Finlayson⁴ and Hutchings⁵ *et al*, I found an inequality, which relates Lyapunov time and evolution rate. This inequality fits well with some known cases in biological evolution.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Gg, 87.18.Tt, 87.23.Kg, 05.45.-a

Keywords: chaos, biological systems, evolution

I. INTRODUCTION

Chaos plays a major role in the modern conception of physics⁶, particularly related to the instability of the planetary system. On the other hand, phenotypic evolution plays an important role in biological sciences. Surprisingly this two different aspect of research are closely related since the rate of evolution (for some superior species) is closely related to the Lyapunov exponent.

II. INEQUALITY FOR EVOLUTION RATE AND LYAPUNOV TIME

Following Finlayson⁴ (page 42), and referring to human evolution, one way to reduce the effect of environmental fluctuations is to prolong the response time face to these changes (based on an original idea by Hutchings⁵ *et al*). In fact, a slow evolutionary response may be able to keep a stable population. This is very natural since face to fluctuations, stability becomes related to long time delay.

After these ideas, consider the proposed chaotic instability for the solar system (see reference 6 for a general discussion). That is, small perturbations produce unpredictable changes after a time τ called the Lyapunov time. In the solar system this time was evaluated numerically^{1,2,6} and corresponds to $\tau = 5.000.000$ [years]. It means that the solar system possesses an intrinsic noise source due to chaos and characterized by that time. Following Hutchings *et al* and Finlayson ideas, one expects that the evolution-time ($1/\nu$) is bigger than the fluctuations-time related to chaos instabilities. That is,

$$\nu\tau \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

and in the particular case of the planetary system

$$\nu \leq 1/5 \text{ [darwins]}. \quad (2)$$

Where one darwin corresponds to $1/1.000.000$ [1/years]. Some values of the evolution rates are $\nu = 0.04$ [darwins] for horses, and $\nu = 0.026$ [darwins] for a few species of dinosaurs in the Mesozoic³. Note that (2) it is not contradictory with a low evolutionary rate (crocodile and other with small rates). Nevertheless, it gives a superior bound for evolution rates guided by noise in the solar systems. This is the case for hominids (after the Kimura's rate³, $\nu = 1/5$ [darwins]) where the equality is verified in (2).

III. CONCLUSIONS

I have proposed an inequality, based on the ideas of Hutchings *et al* and Finlayson, which relates the evolution rate and environmental (noise) instability-time. Particularly, for some species (including hominids), this inequality is well verified when the planetary instability due to chaos is considered. Naturally, for species with high evolutionary rate (for instance virus) the origin of fluctuations is a more local (terrestrial) source.

A final comment, inequality (1) ensures stability face to environmental fluctuations (noise) nevertheless face to abrupt and marked changes, in the sense of catastrophe theory, a slow response does not guarantee stability.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by project FONDECYT 1070597, and UTA-Mayor Project (2005-2006). I acknowledge K. J. Chandia who showed me reference 4.

¹ J. Laskar, A numerical experiment on the chaotic behavior of the Solar System, *Nature* **338**, 237-238 (1989).

² J. Laskar, The limit of earth orbital calculations for geo-

- logical time-scale use, *Phil.Trans.Roy.Soc. London A*, **357**, 1735-1759 (1999).
- ³ M. Kimura, *The Neutral Theory of Molecular Evolution* (Cambridge University Press (1983)). Also in: *Theorie Neutraliste de L'Évolution* (Flammarion (1990)).
- ⁴ C. Finlayson, *Neanderthals and Modern Humans: An Ecological and Evolutionary Perspective* (Cambridge University Press (2004)).
- ⁵ M. J. Hutchings, D. K. Wijesinghe & E. A. John. The effects of heterogeneous nutrient supply on plant performance: A survey of responses with special reference to clonal herbs. In *The Ecological Consequences of Environmental Heterogeneity*. Ed. M. J. Hutchings, E.A. John & J. A. Stewart, pp. 91-110 Oxford, Blackwell Sciences (2000).
- ⁶ A. C. Scott, *The Nonlinear Universe: Chaos, Emergence, Life* (Springer, Berlin (2007)).